



(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

⊕ www.ijirset.com ⊠ ijirset@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405481|

Fair Isolate Domination Number of a Graph

Dr. S. Saranya

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University, Mohali, India

ABSTRACT: A Fair isolate dominating set in a graph G is a dominating set S such that all vertices not in S are dominated by the same number of vertices from S. ie) every two vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors in S and < S > has atleast one isolated vertex. The fair isolate domination number $\gamma_{0fd}(G)$, of G is the minimum cardinality of a fair dominating set. In this paper we investigate the properties of the graphs for which the fair isolate dominating set of a graph. We determine the fair isolate domination number of path, cycle, complete graph, complete bipartite graph and some special graphs.

KEYWORDS: dominating set, fair dominating set, isolate dominating set, fair isolate dominating set, isolate domination number.

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we only consider a simple graph (a graph without loop or multiple edges). For a graph G, we denote (G) and (G) as vertex set and edge set of G, respectively. Two vertices u and v are said to be neighbor or adjacent (denoted by uv) if $uv\in(G)$. The set of all neighbors of u is denoted by (u) and it is called the open neighborhood of u, that is, $N(u)=\{x\in V(G):xu\in E(G)\}$. The closed neighborhood of u, denoted by N[u], is given by $N[u]=N(u)\cup\{u\}$. An induced subgraph of G (simply, subgraph of G) is a graph with vertices less than or equal to (G) such that adjacencies and non-adjacencies of any two vertices in G are preserved. A subgraph of G induced by S is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$. The complement of a graph G, denoted by \overline{G} , is a graph of the same vertices as G such that any two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G.

We say that a subset $S \subseteq (G)$ is a dominating set of *G* if for all vertex $x \in S$, there exists a vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus S$ such that $uv \in E(G)$. The minimum cardinality of the dominating set of *G* is called a domination number of *G*, denoted by (*G*) Haynes et al (1998). If a subgraph $\langle S \rangle$ induced by a dominating set *S* of *G* has an isolated vertex, then we say that *S* is an isolate dominating set of *G*. The minimum cardinality of an isolate dominating set is called isolate domination number, denoted by $\gamma_0(G)$. The concept of isolate domination was introduced by Hamid & Balamurugam (2013) and further studied by Arriola (2015).

A Fair dominating set in a graph G is a dominating set S such that all vertices not in S are dominated by the same number of vertices from S. ie) every two vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors in S. The fair domination number $\gamma_{fd}(G)$, of G is the minimum cardinality of a fair dominating set. The concepts of fair domination in graphs were introduced by Caro, Hansberg, and Henning [3].

II. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, we study fair isolate domination number of graphs such as complete graph, cycles, complete bipartite graph, wheel graph and paths.

Definition: 2.1

A Dominating set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a fair isolate dominating set in G if every two distinct vertices not in S have the same number of neighbours from S and $\langle S \rangle$ has atleast one isolated vertex. ie) For every two distinct vertices u and v from V(G) - S, $|N(u) \cap S| = |N(v) \cap S|$ and $\langle S \rangle$ has atleast one isolated vertex. The fair isolate domination number is denoted by γ_{0fd}






www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405481|





In this graph G, $S = \{v_1, v_3\}, V - S = \{v_2, v_4, v_5\}$ $N(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3, v_5\}, N(v_4) = \{v_1, v_3, v_5\} \text{ and } N(v_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ $N(v_2) \cap S = \{v_1, v_3\}, \ N(v_4) \cap S = \{v_1, v_3\}.$ Hence $\gamma_{0fd} = 2$.

Theorem: 2.3

Let P_n , C_n , K_n be the path, cycle, complete graph of order n then the fair isolate domination number is

 $\gamma_{0_{fd}}(P_n) = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right].$ (i)

- $\gamma_{0_{fd}}(C_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil.$ $\gamma_{0_{fd}}(K_n) = 1.$ (ii)
- (iii)

Theorem: 2.4

In a complete bipartite graph with $K_{m,n}$ vertices then the fair isolate domination number $\gamma_{0_{fd}}$ is min (K_m , K_n).

Proof: Let G be a complete bipartite graph with $K_{m,n}$ vertices. Take $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$. Then $V - S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$. $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n\}$. It is enough to prove that i) S is a dominating set and $\langle S \rangle$ has at least one isolated vertex. ii) every two distinct vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors from S. i) Clearly S is a dominating set and m < n. $\text{ii) } \mathsf{N}(u_1) = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_1) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1 \,, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \}, \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) = \{ v_1, v_2 \,, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \text{ and } \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{S} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m \} \ \mathsf{N}(u_2) \cap \mathsf{N}(v_3 \dots v_m) \ \mathsf{N$ $N(u_3) \cap S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots \dots v_m\}.$ $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}, N(u_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$ and Similarly, $N(u_n) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots v_m\}$ and $N(u_n) \cap S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3 \dots v_m\}$. Therefore S is a fair isolate dominating set and hence $\gamma_{0 \text{ fd}}$ is min (K_m, K_n).

Theorem: 2.5

In a star graph with n vertices then the fair isolate domination number γ_{0fd} is 1.

Proof: Let G be a Star graph with n vertices. Take $S = \{v_1\}$ (centre vertex). Then $V - S = \{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$. To prove that i) every two vertices are nonadjacent in S. ii) S is a isolate dominating set and iii) every two distinct vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors from S.

- It is clearly obivious. i)
- Clearly, S is a dominating set and the vertex of degree zero in S. Therefore, S is a isolate dominating set. ii)
- iii) $N(v_2) = \{v_1\}, N(v_2) \cap S = \{v_1\} \text{ and } N(v_3) = \{v_1\}, N(v_3) \cap S = \{v_1\} \text{ and } N(v_4) = \{v_1\}, N(v_4) \cap S = \{v_1\}, N(v_4) \cap S = \{v_1\}, V(v_4) \cap S = \{v_1\},$
 - $\{v_1\}$, Similarly, $N(v_n) = \{v_1\}$ and $N(v_n) \cap S = \{v_1\}$.

1 An ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405481|

Therefore S is a fair isolate dominating set and hence the fair isolate domination number γ_{0fd} is 1.

Theorem: 2.6

In a cubic with n vertices then the fair isolate domination number $\gamma_{0_{fd}}$ is 1.

Proof: Let G be a cubic graph with n vertices. Take $S = \{v_2\}$. Then $V - S = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$. To prove that i) every two vertices are nonadjacent in S. ii) S is a isolate dominating set iii) every two distinct vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors from S.

- i) It is clearly obivious.
- ii) Clearly, S is a isolate dominating set.
- iii) $N(v_1) = \{v_2\} \text{ and } N(v_1) \cap S = \{v_2\}, N(v_3) = \{v_2\} \text{ and } N(v_3) \cap S = \{v_2\}$
- $N(v_4) = \{v_2\} \text{ and } N(v_4) \cap S = \{v_2\}, \text{ Similarly, } N(v_n) = \{v_2\} \text{ and } N(v_n) \cap S = \{v_2\}.$

Therefore S is a fair isolate dominating set. Hence fair isolate domination number $\gamma_{0_{fd}}$ is 1.

III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we discuss some special graphs and illustrate these concepts through examples. Characterizations of the fair isolate domination and some exact values of its corresponding fair isolate domination number are also discussed in detailed.

Definition: 3.1

The wheel is a graph formed by connecting a single vertex to all vertices of a cycle.

Example: 3.2




Figure 3.1

In this graph G, S = {v₃} is a fair isolates dominating set and $\gamma_{0 \text{ fd}} = 1$.

Result : 3.3

- (i) Let $W_n = C_{n-1} + \{v\}$ be a wheel on n vertices then the fair isolate domination number $\gamma_{0_{fd}}$ is 1.
- (ii) The fair isolate domination number of Friend ship graph F_n on n vertices is 1.

Definition: 3.4

A helm graph H_n is the graph obtained from n- wheel graph by adjoining a pendant edge at each node of the cycle. The helm graph H_n has 2n + 1 vertices and 3n edges.




Example: 3.5

International Journal of Innovative Research of Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)



www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025 |DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405481|



In this graph G, $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_0\}$ is a fair isolate dominating set and $\gamma_{0_{fd}} = 6$.

Definition: 3.6

A closed helm CH_n is the graph obtained by taking a helm H_n and adding edges between the pendant vertices to form a cycle.

Theorem: 3.7

The fair isolate domination number of Helm graph H_n and a closed helm graph CH_n on 2n + 1 vertices is n +1.

Proof: Let G be a helm graph with 2n + 1 vertices. Take $S = \{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, ..., v_n\}(v - center vertex)$. Then $V - S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_n\}$. It is enough to prove that i) every two vertices are nonadjacent in S. ii) S is a isolate dominating set iii) every two distinct vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors from S.

- i) It is clearly obvious.
- ii) v is dominated by $u_1, u_2, u_3, ..., u_n$ and the remaining vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, ..., v_n$ are dominated by itself and the set S form a isolate set. Therefore S is a isolate dominating set.
- iii) Now, $N(u_i) = \{v, v_{i,u_{i+1},u_n}\}$ and $N(u_i) \cap S = \{v, v_{i,u_{i+1},u_n}\}$, Therefore S is a fair isolate dominating set. Hence fair isolate independent domination number is n + 1. Similarly, the same result is hold for closed helm graph.

Definition: 3.8

For $M \ge 2$, Jahangir graph $J_{n,m}$ is a graph of order nm + 1, consisting of a cycle of order nm with one vertex adjacent to exactly m vertices of $C_{n,m}$ at a distance n to each other.






www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025 |DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405481|

Example: 3.9



In this graph G, S = {v, v₁, v₂, v₃, v₄, v₅, v₆, v₇} is a fair isolate dominating set and $\gamma_{0_{fd}} = 8$.

Theorem: 3.10

In a Jahangir graph with $J_{2,n}$ vertices then the fair isolate domination number is n + 1.

Proof:

Let G be a Jahangir graph with $J_{2,n}$ vertices. Take $S = \{u_1, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}(u_1 - center vertex)$. Then $V - S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$.

It is enough to prove that i) every two vertices are nonadjacent in S. ii) S is a isolate dominating set and iii) every two distinct vertices not in S have the same number of neighbors from S.

i)It is clearly obivious.

ii) Clearly, The vertex of degree zero in S.

Therefore S is a isolate dominating set.

iii) $N(v_1) = \{u_1, w_1, w_2\}$ $N(v_1) \cap S = \{u_1, w_1, w_2\}$ $N(v_2) = \{u_1, w_2, w_3\}$ $N(v_2) \cap S = \{u_1, w_2, w_3\}$ $N(v_3) = \{u_1, w_3, w_4\}$ $N(v_3) \cap S = \{u_1, w_3, w_4\}$ $N(v_4) = \{u_1, w_4, w_5\}$ $N(v_4) \cap S = \{u_1, w_4, w_5\}$ $N(v_5) = \{u_1, w_1, w_5\}$ $N(v_5) \cap S = \{u_1, w_1, w_5\}$

Therefore S is a fair isolate independent dominating set. And Hence fair isolate independent domination number is n+1.

REFERENCES

[1] O. Ore. Theory of Graphs. American Mathematical Society, Provedence, R.I., 1962.

[2] E. J. Cockayne, and S. T. Hedetniemi, Towards a theory of domination in graphs, Networks, (1977) 247-261.

[3] L. L. Kelleher and M.B. Cozzens, Dominating sets in social network graphs, Math. Social Sci., Vol. 16, no. 3 1988, 267-279.

[4] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, and M.A. Henning, Fair Domination in Graphs. University of Haifa, 1-7, 2011.

[5] E.J. Cockayne, S.T. Hedetniemi, Independence graphs, Congr. Numer. X (1974) 471-491.

[6] E.J. Cockayne, S.T. Hedetniemi, Towards a theory of domination in graphs, Networks 7 (1977) 247-261.





www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 5, May 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1405481|

[7] W. Goddard, M.A. Henning, J. Lyle, J. Southey, On the independent domination number of regular graphs, Ann. Comb. 16 (2012) 719–732.

[8] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Tekkar, Newyork, 1998.

[9] I. Sahul Hamid and S. Balamurugan, Isolate domination in graphs September 02, 2013.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com